

UDC 551.8

CSCSTI 38.15.29

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54859/kjogi108603>

Received: 16.09.2022.

Accepted: 24.03.2023.

Published: 30.03.2023.

Original article

Clays as indicators of paleoclimate and source rocks in The Chu-Sarysu Basin (Kazakhstan)

Askar Munara¹, Michel Cathelineau², Cedric Carpentier², Nadir Abylay³

¹ KMG Engineering, Astana, Kazakhstan

² GeoRessources, Université de Lorraine, CNRS, CREGU, Nancy, France

³ GeoRessources, Université de Lorraine, France

ABSTRACT

Newly formed smectite and palygorskite and their association are good proxies of a subtropical climate alternating dry and warm/ humid seasons during the late Cretaceous during the formation of the Chu-Syrasu basin. The association of fine-grain clays, smectite and fibres (palygorskite) and the occurrence locally of grains of albite, and natrolite, indicate they formed from water, slightly alkali-rich, and enriched in silica and magnesium. These clays may result partly from the alteration of volcanic rocks (glass) either in situ in case of volcanic emissions during sedimentation or close as smectite are euhedral and palygorskite well preserved. The flood plain may have been submitted during the hot season to drying, favouring the formation of brines which interacted with volcanic glass. Evaporation processes could have thus triggered the oversaturation with respect to smectite and palygorskite.

Besides, muscovite as coarse grain particles, illite and chloritized biotites attest to a second source compatible with the coarse grain microcline and quartz, which can derive from granites. Source rocks could be, therefore, dual, with acid plutonic series (peraluminous granites probably) releasing coarse-grained detrital phyllosilicates (muscovite and biotite-chlorite) transported together with quartz and feldspars by rivers, and volcanic series, altered into newly formed clays (smectite and palygorskite).

Keywords: *Chu-Sarysu basin, Muyumkum, Tortkuduk, Kanjugan, Uyuk, Ikansk, Intymak, clay minerals, sediments, uranium deposits, smectite, palygorskite, illite, biotite, chlorite.*

To cite this article:

Munara A, Cathelineau M, Carpentier C, Abylay N. Clays as indicators of paleoclimate and source rocks in the Chu-Sarysu Basin (Kazakhstan). *Kazakhstan journal for oil & gas industry*. 2023;5(1):21–35. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54859/kjogi108603>.

УДК 551.8

МРНТИ 38.15.29

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54859/kjogi108603>

Получена: 16.09.2022.

Одобрена: 24.03.2023.

Опубликована: 30.03.2023.

Оригинальное исследование

Глины как индикаторы палеоклимата и материнские породы Чу-Сарысуйской впадины (Казахстан)

А. Мунара¹, М. Кателино², С. Карпантье², Н. Абылай³

¹ КМГ Инжиниринг, г. Астана, Казахстан

² Лаборатория георесурсов, Университет Лотарингии, CNRS, CREGU, г. Нанси, Франция

³ Лаборатория георесурсов, Университет Лотарингии, Франция

АННОТАЦИЯ

Новообразованные смектит и палыгорскит и их ассоциации являются показателями субтропического климата, чередующего сухие и теплые/гумидные сезоны в течение позднего мелового периода при формировании Чу-Сарысуйской впадины. Ассоциация мелкозернистых глин, смектита и волокон палыгорскита, а также локальное присутствие зёрен альбита и натролита указывают на то, что они образовались из слабощелочной воды, обогащенной кремнезёмом и магнием. Эти глины могут частично образовываться в результате изменения вулканических пород, либо на месте осадконакопления в случае вулканических выбросов, либо рядом с ним, поскольку смектит имеет идиоморфную форму, а палыгорскит при этом сохраняет начальную геометрию. В жаркое время года пойма могла подвергаться высыханию, что способствовало образованию рассолов, взаимодействовавших с вулканическим стеклом. Таким образом, процессы испарения могли вызвать перенасыщение пород смектитом и палыгорскитом.

Кроме того, мусковит в виде крупнозернистых частиц, иллит и хлоритизированные биотиты свидетельствуют о втором источнике образования глин, совместимом с крупнозернистым микроклином и кварцем, которые могут происходить из гранитов. Следовательно, материнские породы могли быть образованы из двух источников: кислые плутонические свиты (вероятно, перглиноземистые граниты), выделяющие крупнозернистые обломочные филлосиликаты (мусковит и биотит-хлорит), переносимые реками вместе с кварцем и полевыми шпатами, и вулканические свиты, преобразованные в глины (смектит и палыгорскит).

Ключевые слова: Чу-Сарысуйская впадина, Муюмкун, Торткудук, Канжуган, Уюк, Иканск, Интымак, глинистые минералы, отложения, урановые месторождения, смектит, палыгорскит, иллит, биотит, хлорит.

Как цитировать:

Мунара Д., Кателино М., Карпантье С., Абылай Н. Глины как индикаторы палеоклимата и материнские породы Чу-Сарысуйской впадины (Казахстан) // *Вестник нефтегазовой отрасли Казахстана*. 2023. Том 5, №1. С. 21–35. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54859/kjogi108603>.

ӨОЖ 551.8

ГТАХР 38.15.29

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54859/kjogi108603>

Қабылданды: 16.09.2022.

Мақұлданды: 24.03.2023.

Жарияланды: 30.03.2023.

Түпнұсқа зерттеу

Балшықтар палеоклимат индикаторлары ретінде және Шу-Сарысу ойпатының (Қазақстан) аналық жыныстары

А. Мұнара¹, М. Кателино², С. Карпантье², Н. Абылай³

¹ ҚМГ Инжиниринг, Астана қаласы, Қазақстан

² Георесурс лабораториясы, Лотарингии Университеті, CNRS, CREGU, Нанси қаласы, Франция

³ Георесурс лабораториясы, Лотарингии Университеті, Франция

АННОТАЦИЯ

Жаңадан пайда болған смектит пен палыгорскит және олардың бірлестігі Шу-Сырасу ойпатының қалыптасуында Бор кезеңінің соңында құрғақ және жылы/ылғалды мезгілдерді алмастыратын субтропикалық климаттың жақсы көрсеткіштері болып табылады. Ұсақ түйіршікті саздар, смектит және талшықтар қауымдастығы (палыгорскит) және альбит пен натролит дәндерінің жергілікті болуы олардың кремний диоксиді мен магниймен байытылған сәл сілтілі судан пайда болғанын көрсетеді. Бұл саздар жартылай жанартау жыныстарының (шынының) өзгеруі нәтижесінде пайда болуы мүмкін, шөгінділер кезінде жанартау шығарындылары болған жағдайда немесе жақын жерде, себебі смектит идиоморфты және палыгорскит жақсы сақталған. Ыстық маусымда жайылма кеуіп кетуі мүмкін, бұл вулкандық шынымен әрекеттесетін тұзды ерітінділердің пайда болуына ықпал етті. Осылайша, булану процестері смектит пен палыгорскитке қатысты шамадан тыс қанықтылықты тудыруы мүмкін.

Сонымен қатар, ірі түйіршікті бөлшектер түріндегі мусковит, иллит және хлорланған биотиттер граниттерден шығуы мүмкін ірі түйіршікті микроклин мен кварцпен үйлесімді екінші көзді көрсетеді. Осылайша, аналық жыныстар қосарланған болуы мүмкін: қышқыл плутоникалық түзілімдермен (мүмкін перглиноземалық граниттер) ірі түйіршікті филлосиликаттарды (мусковит және биотит-хлорит) шығарады, өзендер кварцпен және дала шпаттарымен және жаңадан пайда болған саздарға (смектит және палыгорскит) айналдырылған жанартаулық түзілімдермен.

Негізгі сөздер: Шу-Сарысу ойпаты, Мойынқұм, Төртқұдық, Қанжуған, Ұйық, Икан, Ынтымақ, сазды минералдар, шөгінділер, уран кен орындары, смектит, палыгорскит, иллит, биотит, хлорит.

Дәйексөз келтіру үшін:

Мұнара А., Кателино М., Карпантье С., Абылай Н. Балшықтар палеоклимат индикаторлары ретінде және Шу-Сарысу ойпатының (Қазақстан) аналық жыныстары // *Қазақстанның мұнай-газ саласының хабаршысы*. 2023. 5 том, №1. 21–35 б. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54859/kjogi108603>.

Introduction

Clay minerals are the main constituent of shales and a minor component of sands in the fluvial sediments of the Chu-Sarysu basin (Kazakhstan). Shales and sands alternate in the basin, and clays may be considered good indicators of the provenance of the detrital minerals as well as markers of the alteration conditions in the weathering. These clays may have formed or evolved during sedimentation or early post-sedimentation stages. However, the temperature developed in the basin is relatively low due to a thin overburden of less than one kilometre and not favourable to profound alteration of the clays.

The nature of clays within the sands and the layers rich in clay is essential to determine at different scales, from the horizons at the drilling scale to the basin scale. Clays' lateral and vertical distribution between the four main formations (Kanjugan, Uyük, Ikansk, and Intymak) remains incompletely determined. As clay mineralogy can reflect either some diagenetic processes, the source of detrital minerals or the influence of U-mineralization processes, it was characterised by representative samples from the four sedimentary formations, thanks to samples from drill cores from the South-Central Muyunkum and Tortkuduk areas provided by Katco company. The nature of the clays is also essential to determine as it can represent a penalising phase for the mining operation during in situ recovery.

Material and methods

Geology

The study of clay material was carried out on existing drilling cores from the Southern and Central Muyunkum and Tortkuduk deposits within four sedimentary formations thanks to collaborative works with Areva (now Orano) and Katco companies. Twenty-eight samples were selected from 13 wells of South, Central Muyunkum and Tortkuduk fields with the help of JV Katco LLP company.

The Chu-Sarysu Basin is about 200 kilometres wide (east-west) and 800 kilometres long (north-south), separated from the Syrdarya Basin by the Karatau Range [1, 2]. The exploration in the Chu-Sarysu Basin, the first of which was undertaken in the late 1950s, led to the first explorations in the Chu-Sarysu Basin in the late 1950s and led to the discovery of numerous

deposits: Inkai, Uvanas, Muyunkum, Mynkuduk, Akdala, Jalpak [3]. The Mesozoic formations are unconformable with the Paleozoic basement and have various lithologies: alternating sands, clays, silts, gravels, pebbles and limestones. Uranium mineralisation is mainly in roll-front deposits located in several stratigraphic horizons. The uranium, transported in solution under oxidising conditions, was precipitated by various reducing agents.

The Uyük horizon is composed of shallow marine, littoral and deltaic facies. The horizon is divided into two zones: a lower sandy zone (mineralised zone) and an upper silty-clay zone (mineralised zone) and an upper silty-clay zone. The depth of the upper zone is 395 to 525 metres in the southern part of the Suzak depression and 240 to 314 metres in the Tortkuduk area. The lower zone is composed of sands of varying grain sizes (medium and very fine grain) with little clay. The upper zone consists of clays, silts and clayey sands. The boundary between the lower and the upper part is a mixing zone between the upper part corresponds to a mixing zone between the sands of the lower horizon and the clays of the upper horizon. The boundary between the two zones is, therefore, irregular. The total thickness of the Uyük horizon varies between 30 metres in the Tortkuduk area and 80 metres in the deepest part of the Suzak depression.

The Ikansk horizon is divided into two sub-horizons: the lower part is represented by littoral and deltaic facies (medium to very fine-grained sands, well sorted) and the upper part by deltaic facies (coarser, poorly sorted sands with silty lenses). Clays and silts separate these sub-horizons over a thickness of 0.5 to 5 metres. In the Suzak depression, the thickness of the Ikansk horizon varies from 50 to 55 metres, and its depth is between 380 and 550 metres.

The Intymak horizon is characterised by a grey-green to black clay series, possibly mixed with black, Cretaceous volcanic ash. The thickness of the formation varies from 20 to 120 metres.

Petrographic observations and chemical analysis were performed on separated clay fractions of less than two μm . The samples were cleaned, dried, and degreased with acetone for one night. The clays were then separated by ultrasonic treatment of samples followed by ultracentrifugation.

Methods

X-Ray Diffraction (XRD): XRD data were collected with a D8 Bruker diffractometer with Co K α 1 radiation ($\lambda = 1.7902 \text{ \AA}$). Diffractograms of non-oriented powders were obtained to identify non-clay minerals. XRD analysis was also carried out for the air-dried and ethylene glycol (EG) saturated oriented specimens of the $< 2 \mu\text{m}$ fraction.

SEM micrographs were obtained using a Hitachi S-2500 Fevex scanning electron microscope using thin sections. Semi-quantitative chemical analyses were also performed. The separated $< 2 \mu\text{m}$ fraction of each run-sample was also observed to check the nature of newly formed non-clay minerals intimately associated with the coarse-grained phyllosilicates.

TEM image and Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS-TEM): Micro-chemical analyses of isolated clay particles of the $< 2 \mu\text{m}$ fraction were obtained with an EDAX energy dispersive X-ray analyser attached to a CM20-Philips instrument operating at 200kV equipped with Si-Li detector and Li super ultra-thin windows SUTW. Spectra were collected under nanoprobe mode for 40 s

from an area $\sim 10 \text{ nm}$ in diameter. Elemental composition was calculated assuming the thin film criteria (SMTF program: semi-quantitative metallurgical thin film program) and using k-factors calibrated with independently analysed macroscopic micas, with a maximum error of 5% for each element.

Electron microprobe analysis: The chemical composition of coarse-grained clay particles was obtained using electron microprobe analysis (EMA). Electron microprobe analyses (EMPA) of muscovite, biotite and Fe-chlorite were performed on representative thin sections at SCMEM (Nancy, France). Si, Al, Mg, Fe, Mn, K, V, Ti, Na, and Ca were analysed using a CAMECA SX100 instrument calibrated using natural and synthetic minerals or compounds such as albite (Si, Na), Al_2O_3 (Al), olivine (Mg), hematite (Fe), MnTiO_3 (Mn), Co (Co), NiO (Ni). The analytical conditions were a current of 12 nA, an accelerating voltage of 15 kV and a counting time of 10s. The analyses have a spatial resolution of 1 to 2 microns. Structural formulae were calculated arbitrarily based 12 O per half unit cell, i.e. an $\text{O}_{10}(\text{OH})_2$ base, and considering all iron as trivalent.

Table I. Main features of the studied samples

	Formation	Age	Borehole-Sample	Depth, m	Description
Tortkuduk Nord	Uyuk	Eocene	1722-109	275,7	Brown-greenish clay, compacted in oxidised sand
	Betpakdala	Miocene	1750-2	266,4	Grey-greenish clay homogeneous, compacted
	Intymak	Eocene	1750-9	270,4	Green sandy clay, fine sand, compacted, bivalve
	Intymak	Eocene	1750-12	273,1	Medium sand, pebbles of clays, grey-green
	Intymak	Eocene	1750-14	275,0	Greenish clay, compacted, intercalation medium sand
	Intymak	Eocene	1750-16	276,2	Light greenish clay, compacted, intercalated fine sand
	Uyuk	Eocene	1750-18	278,2	Brown-green clay, sandy
	Uyuk	Eocene	1750-19	278,9	Greenish-black clay, compacted, O.M.
	Uyuk	Eocene	1750-21	279,9	Brownish-black clay, fine-medium sand, intercalation
	Uyuk	Eocene	1750-24	281,8	Grey-greenish clay, fine, sandy
	Uyuk	Eocene	1750-45	304,3	Grey-greenish clay, compacted
	Uyuk	Eocene	1750-48	308,7	Yellow-brown clay, compacted-plastic, Py and O.M
	Uyuk	Eocene	1750-49	311,6	Black clay, oxidised, compacted, much debris
	Uyuk	Eocene	1750-50	314,8	Brownish-black compacted clay
	Uyuk	Eocene	1321-66	308,5	Greyish clay (compact)
Uyuk	Eocene	1321-70	317,1	Yellow clay(compact)	
Betpakdala	Miocene	1319-83	270,3	Grey-greenish sandy clay (compact)	
Muyunkum Central	Uyuk	Eocene	642-2	334	White and green sand and clay; reduced area; medium, mineralised
	Uyuk	Eocene	421-5a, b	390,2	Carbonated sandy dark grey clay with O. M.: reduced; fine
	Intymak	Eocene	996-1	390,6	Dark grey black sand; reduced; medium fine
	Uyuk	Eocene	996-10	450,5	Black clay; reduced zone
	Uyuk	Eocene	998-7a, b	439,7	Dark grey clay, green: oxidised/reduced
Uyuk	Eocene	1427-144	434,0	Grey-greenish clay with O.M. and sulphides	

continuation of Table 1

	Formation	Age	Borehole-Sample	Depth, m	Description
Muyunkum South	Uyuk	Eocene	781-6a-d	420,5	Grey and yellow sand black clay: middle-fine
	Ikansk	Eocene	781-2	392,2	Passage clay and white and green sand; oxidised/reduced
	Ikansk	Eocene	774-1a, b	253,4	White and yellow sand with clay: oxidised; medium fine
	Ikansk	Eocene	761-2	403,6	Dark grey sand with clay; reduced/mineralised; medium fine
	Uyuk	Eocene	768-4a-i	427,2	White sand with dark grey clay and medium, coarse, mineralised

Table 2. Representative analyses of the smectites from the sample 761-2

Sample	Horizon (Field)	Mineral	Si	Al(IV)	Al	Al(VI)	Fe ³⁺	Mg	K	Na	Ca	C.I.
1750-45	Uyuk (TN)	Smectite	3,55	0,45	2,11	1,66	0,32	0,15	0,07	0,00	0,09	0,24
1750-48	Uyuk (TN)	Smectite	3,72	0,28	1,70	1,42	0,42	0,19	0,17	0,00	0,09	0,36
642-2	Uyuk (CM)	Smectite	3,74	0,26	1,72	1,46	0,38	0,20	0,10	0,00	0,11	0,32
761-2	Ikansk (SM)	Smectite	3,66	0,34	1,85	1,51	0,39	0,16	0,07	0,00	0,12	0,30
761-2	Ikansk (SM)	Smectite	3,71	0,29	1,87	1,58	0,29	0,18	0,04	0,00	0,14	0,32
761-2	Ikansk (SM)	Smectite	3,59	0,41	2,14	1,74	0,25	0,09	0,10	0,04	0,07	0,28
761-2	Ikansk (SM)	Smectite	3,71	0,29	1,81	1,52	0,40	0,13	0,04	0,00	0,12	0,27
761-2	Ikansk (SM)	Smectite	3,75	0,25	1,79	1,54	0,37	0,14	0,06	0,00	0,10	0,26
761-2	Ikansk (SM)	Smectite	3,72	0,28	1,81	1,53	0,35	0,18	0,05	0,00	0,10	0,26
761-2	Ikansk (SM)	Smectite	3,72	0,28	1,83	1,55	0,36	0,15	0,06	0,00	0,10	0,26
761-2	Ikansk (SM)	Smectite	3,51	0,49	2,25	1,76	0,23	0,10	0,16	0,00	0,07	0,31

Results

Clay mineralogy

Four main clay minerals were identified: smectite, illite, kaolinite and palygorskite. In addition, larger-size detrital phases are mixed with the fine-grained clays but frequently occur in the fine-grained fraction: muscovite and chlorite.

Smectite

Smectite is uniformly presented in all samples from Uyuk formation to the Miocene Betpakdala system in large amounts, smectite being the predominant mineral found in the fine grain fraction (< 2 microns). It constitutes the clay aggregate's main mineral phase and is easily observed either in thin sections or under SEM. This result indicates that smectite is the dominant mineral group in all layers.

TEM images reveal that in most cases, smectite is well crystallised as euhedral plates presenting a sub-hexagonal habitus (Fig. 2 A, B, E). The plates are not randomly distributed but organised geometrically, suggesting crystallisation from a solution

such as those formed during synthesis experiments of smectite [4] or micas [5]. Such growth corresponds to those described as issued from Ostwald ripening. The polygonal euhedral crystals are typical of clays formed from a solution and not resulting from the in situ alteration of a former silicate. They precipitated after the dissolution of Al, Si, and Mg bearing phases, which could be volcanic glass in the present cases.

Within the fine-grain fraction, smectite is generally mixed and associated with palygorskite, as shown by the images from Figure 6 (B, C, D). XRD spectra show that the analysed clay is fully expandable, probably montmorillonite, with a 001 reflection indicating a layer spacing of around 14 Å and swelling after glycolation around 17 Å. Results are almost similar for the 28 samples investigated, meaning that the nature of the smectite is identical, whatever the location and distribution within the different geological formations. The analyses show that the montmorillonite is silica-rich, with relatively low content in Mg and Fe, and the interlayer is dominated by K and Ca.




Figure 1. XRD pattern of the clay fraction from a representative South Muyunkum grey sand (sample 761-2)




Figure 3. X-ray diffractogram (XRD) of the clay fraction from sample 996-10 dominated by detrital minerals: chlorite and muscovite




Figure 2. TEM microphotographs show the habitus of smectite with the geometric growth of euhedral crystals as formed by Ostwald ripening (A, B, E) and associated palygorskite (C, D, E). A-D: sample 1750-14; E: 1750-24; F: 421-5a, b

Table 2: Structural formula of detrital muscovite calculated based on 11 oxygens

Sample	Horizon (Field)	Si	Al(IV)	Al	Al(VI)	Fe ³⁺	Mg	K	Na	Ca	C.I.
774-1a,b	Ikansk (SM)	3,20	0,80	2,51	1,71	0,18	0,10	0,91	0,00	0,01	0,93
774-1a,b	Ikansk (SM)	3,24	0,76	2,42	1,66	0,20	0,09	0,85	0,03	0,01	0,89
996-10	Uyuk (CM)	3,06	0,94	2,71	1,78	0,17	0,05	0,99	0,00	0,00	0,99
996-10	Uyuk (CM)	3,10	0,90	2,76	1,86	0,02	0,11	0,84	0,11	0,00	0,95




Figure 4. A: Detrital muscovite plates (crossNicholss, optical microscopy); B: backscattered SEM image showing a layer enriched in muscovite and clay in the sandstone. Arg: Clay; Fds: Feldspar; Mu: Muscovite; Py: Pyrite; Qtz: Quartz; U: Uranium phases (coffinite) associated with pyrite

Muscovite, Illite and mixed layered Illite-Smectite

Most sands and clay-rich layers contain muscovite, one of the main constituents of silici-clastic formations. Therefore, although the < 2-micron fraction has been separated, muscovite particles are almost always present.

Depending on their relative abundance, the muscovite particles are identified by a thin 001 reflection indicating the presence of di-octahedral phyllosilicates with a spacing of around 10 Å. It is, therefore, challenging to discriminate well-crystallised illite from small muscovite particles using XRD patterns.

The two main criteria that can be used to differentiate illite and mixed layered illite-smectite from muscovite are the broadening of the 001 reflections on the XRD spectra and the chemical analyses, and structural formulae may reveal a deficiency in the inter-layer site occupancy (Table 3).

In the Uyuk formation, illite was found in Central Muyunkum and Tortkuduk. Within the Ikansk formation, illite is dominated only in South Muyunkum and is partially noticed in the samples of the Tortkuduk. Also, illite is uniformly represented in the Intymak and Betpakdala horizons all along the basin.

Table 3. Structural formulas of illite calculated based on 11 oxygens

Sample	Horizon (Field)	Mineral	Si	Al(IV)	Al	Al(VI)	Fe ³⁺	Mg	K	Na	Ca	C.I.
1321-70	Uyuk (TN)	Illite	3,28	0,72	2,61	1,90	0,05	0,07	0,74	0,00	0,00	0,75
1750-24	Uyuk (TN)	Illite	3,21	0,79	2,52	1,73	0,24	0,06	0,70	0,00	0,02	0,74
421-5 a,b	Uyuk (CM)	Illite	3,39	0,61	2,18	1,57	0,30	0,11	0,70	0,00	0,05	0,80
421-5 a,b	Uyuk (CM)	Illite	3,31	0,69	2,25	1,56	0,23	0,24	0,79	0,00	0,02	0,83
642-2	Uyuk (CM)	Illite	3,43	0,57	2,15	1,59	0,20	0,17	0,84	0,00	0,01	0,86
774-1a,b	Ikansk (SM)	Illite	3,37	0,63	2,37	1,73	0,15	0,11	0,74	0,00	0,01	0,75
774-1a,b	Ikansk (SM)	Illite	3,29	0,71	2,45	1,74	0,18	0,07	0,78	0,00	0,01	0,81
774-1a,b	Ikansk (SM)	Illite	3,12	0,88	2,58	1,70	0,23	0,07	0,89	0,05	0,02	0,97
774-1a,b	Ikansk (SM)	Illite	3,32	0,68	2,34	1,66	0,17	0,17	0,86	0,00	0,00	0,86
996-1	Intymak (CM)	Illite	3,58	0,42	1,97	1,55	0,17	0,26	0,71	0,00	0,01	0,72
996-10	Uyuk (CM)	Illite	3,27	0,73	2,30	1,57	0,33	0,14	0,71	0,00	0,01	0,72
996-10	Uyuk (CM)	Illite	3,12	0,88	2,73	1,85	0,16	0,00	0,78	0,05	0,01	0,86
996-10	Uyuk (CM)	Illite	3,31	0,69	2,30	1,61	0,24	0,11	0,89	0,00	0,01	0,92




Figure 5. TEM microphotographs show the habitus of muscovite (C, F), illite (D, E) and chlorite (A, B)





Figure 6. X-ray diffractogram of sample 996-10 from Central Muyunkum showing abundant well-crystallised K-micas (illite, muscovite) in addition to chlorite and smectite illite; a 2-micron fraction, black: air dried; red: glycolated)

Kaolinite

The kaolinite mineral group is much less frequent than smectite, the predominant fine-grain clay, and muscovite (illite) group. Kaolinite is present in small amounts as isolated particles as observed in thin

sections but also under (TEM image, Fig. 7) and identified only in detectable amounts by XRD in a few samples. In the Tortkuduk field, it is noticed in the Intymak formation, whereas in the Central part of Muyunkum, kaolinite is present in both Uyuk and Intymak horizons.



B	Element	Wt%
	O	47.62
	Na	0.00
	Mg	0.02
	Al	22.15
	Si	25.54
	K	0.40
	Ca	0.28
	Fe	3.98
	Total	100,00

Figure 7. A: TEM image of kaolinite in sample 1321-70.; B: Chemical composition of kaolinite (TEM EDS analysis)

Halloysite

Halloysite is a mineral close to kaolinite in composition and structure which is characterised by its no platy habitus contrarily to kaolinite. It is rather difficult to distinguish from kaolinite by XRD as both minerals are in low amounts in the samples, and the main determination comes from TEM investigations. Images show rolled sheets

containing only Al and Si in relative proportion typical of 7Å aluminosilicates of the kaolinite-halloysite group (Fig. 7).

The structural formulae are close to that expected for Halloysite: $\text{Al}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5\text{OH}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ with some deficit in Al compensated by iron and a slight excess in measured silica; $\text{Al}_{1,88-1,91}\text{Fe}_{0,03-0,05}\text{Si}_{2,02-2,08}\text{O}_5\text{OH}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$.





Figure 8. Habitus of halloysite in samples 1750-24 in A and 1750-24 in B (TEM image)

Palygorskite

Palygorskite is widely represented in the Tortkuduk field and selectively in other fields. If in Tortkuduk, the presence of palygorskite is almost omnipresent, then in Central and South Muyunkum, it is represented only in Intymak and Ikansk formations, respectively. It is generally intimately associated with smectite and identified by its typical reflections indicating a spacing of 10, 41 (43) Å on

XRD spectra (Fig. 8). TEM images show that palygorskite forms long fibres, generally 500 nm and up to a few microns, with a width of around 50 ± 20 nm. It is tubular as chrysotile (Fig. 9).

Palygorskite $(\text{Mg}, \text{Al}, \text{vac})_2(\text{Si}_{4-x}, \text{Al}_x)_4\text{O}_{10}(\text{OH})_4 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ is also characterised by its relatively high magnesium content (Table 4). The mean calculated structural formulas of analysed palygorskite are the following: $(\text{Mg}_{0,86}\text{Al}_{0,94}\text{vac}_{0,2})_2(\text{Si}_{4,05}\text{O}_{10}(\text{OH})_4 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$.




Figure 9. A: X-ray diffractogram of the samples 774-1a, b, and 1750-14. Palygorskite, like most other clays, is accompanied by smectites

Table 4. Structural formulae of palygorskite (sample 774-1)

Sample	Horizon (Field)	Mineral	Si	Al(IV)	Al	Al(VI)	Fe ³⁺	Mg	K	Na	Ca	C.I.
774-1a,b	Ikansk (SM)	Palygorskite	4,00	0,00	0,98	0,98	0,19	0,69	0,06	0,00	0,03	0,11
1750-2	Betpakdala (TN)	Palygorskite	3,89	0,11	1,35	1,24	0,18	0,38	0,01	0,00	0,04	0,09
1750-14	Ikansk (TN)	Palygorskite	3,79	0,21	1,25	1,03	0,29	0,52	0,17	0,00	0,05	0,26

Chlorite

“Chlorite” grains are mixtures of several minerals and could derive from the hydrothermal alteration of Fe-Mg-rich minerals such as biotite. The supergene alteration could have affected them during weathering and transport to the sediments. Therefore, an extensive range of compositions is obtained from chlorite to mixtures with K-rich inherited material (altered biotite, mixing with illite etc.). As well as smectite and muscovite, chlorite is represented all along the studied horizons within the basin scale.

from the three fields (17 samples from Tortkuduk, 6 from Central Muyunkum and 5 from Southern Muyunkum) was considered. The data were obtained using TEM and Electron Microprobe analysis.

Crystal-chemical features of the analysed clays

The structural formula of the analysed clayey particles from 28 samples

Figure x provides the main locations of reference minerals in a series of crystal-chemical diagrams showing the main di- and tri-octahedral clays. Structural formulas of the studied minerals for the diagrammatic interpretation were calculated concerning the oxygen 11 for di-octahedral clays and arbitrarily for the others to compare the whole populations. The main objective was to identify the main distribution of analytical data and composite particles, as clays are intimately associated. Microprobe and TEM data were used but presented in two different figures.




Figure 10. A: TEM microphotographs showing the habitus of palygorskite on the example of samples 774 and 1750-24

The diagram, silica vs interlayer charge (I.C. = Na⁺+2Ca²⁺+K⁺) diagram (Fig. 12A) shows a significant part of the analysed clays distributed in two populations: the smectite-I/S-illite-muscovite trend in the field of di-octahedral clays and a second trend between the chlorite and biotite-vermiculite crystal-chemical

domains (when recalculated based on 11O). Thus, Fe-Mg phases are characterised by lower Si content than di-octahedral series and plot below the line delimiting the field of di-octahedral and tri-octahedral clays.

Fig. 12B show the two main crystal-chemical envelopes for large grains of detrital micas and altered (chloritized) biotite. Micas

are close to the muscovite end-member except for a few points characterised by a lower interlayer charge. Most muscovites are well preserved in the sands.

The biotite-chlorite assemblages display a large chemical envelope due to unachieved alteration of the biotites, which includes both chlorites, as shown by XRD and TEM but also probably tri-octahedral smectites.

Diagram 4-Si – Al (IV)

Most data plot within the smectite group field and between high-charge montmorillonite and high-charge beidellite end-members. A few data correspond to low-charge beidellite, and some composite particles fall between biotite and chlorite fields. A similar distribution is issued from the EMA analysis (Fig. 13). Both diagrams indicate that high-charge smectites dominate most geological horizons.

The K-Ca diagram (Fig. 14) discriminates K-rich di-octahedral micas such as muscovite and illite and the smectites characterised by

low charge (around 0,33) and an interlayer occupancy by K, Ca (or Na).

Clays analysed by Electrom Microprobe are mostly smectites: the diagram shows they have a mixed interlayer, dominated by K and Ca. Na is not presented and considered as it is only in minor amounts. Clays analysed by TEM cover a larger range of compositions and include a few illite and muscovite particles. The interlayer of the smectites is similar when analysed by both techniques.





Figure 11. Diagrammatic of the crystal chemistry of clay minerals: Sm: Smectite; Bei: beidellite; Chl: chlorite; Mt: Montmorillonite, Mu: muscovite; Non: Nontronite; Pyr: Pyrophyllite; Sap: Saponite; Verm: Vermiculite, BC: low charge; HC: high charge; Al (VI) = AlTot-Al (IV) with Al (IV) = 4 - Si; Interlayer charge CI = Na + K + 2 Ca





Figure 12. Si-interlayer charge diagram applied to TEM (A) and EMA analysis (B)




Figure 13. Diagram 4-Si –Al(IV) applied to A: TEM analyses and B: EMA analyses of clay particles




Figure 14. Ca – K diagram applied to data series obtained by TEM and microprobe analyses A: dioctahedral particles analysed by TEM; B: Enlarged part of Figure A; C: clay fraction analysed by EMA

Discussion

Samples from all localities and formations layers have a fine-grain clay fraction dominated by clays from the smectite group. Smectite composition falls in between high-charge montmorillonite and high-charge beidellite. The clay fraction also contains illite and particles of the chlorite-biotite group, besides the coarse grain fraction dominated by muscovite. Palygorskite was found in a significant number of samples in minor amounts detectable with TEM observations, but in some samples, palygorskite was detectable amounts with XRD. It is generally associated with smectite. In the Uyk and Ikansk formations, other minerals such as halloysite, natrolite and albite were found, although in Betpakdala and Uyk-Kyzylshy horizons, such minerals were not identified.

The association between smectite and palygorskite has been mentioned in a significant number of continental basins, generally characterised by:

- Alternated periods of dry and warm/humid periods, e.g. a marked seasonality, which could correspond to a subtropical climate;
- Alteration of volcanic glass, which facilitated the formation of clays from the solution enriched in alkalis, from Si and Al released during glass dissolution.

The formation of newly formed euhedral crystals of smectite is not so common. It may indicate a slow process of crystallisation from a solution oversaturated with respect to smectite, e.g. a solution saturated at less with respect to quartz and probably with amorphous silica, with a ratio, cation/H⁺, sufficiently high to be out of the stability field of Al hydroxides and kaolinite (e.g. a pH enough high or a relatively high cation activity) but below the cation ratio favouring

the formation of albite, analcime or natrolite. The fact that some traces of these minerals have been found in the smectite may indicate that locally the conditions were close to the smectite/Na-silicates boundary. Palygorskite may indicate that locally high Mg and Si content are reached in the interstitial fluids, maybe during dry periods.

It is difficult to determine whether smectite and palygorskite are synchronous and issued from the same process and element source, precipitated both or not from solutions or if they can result from authigenesis within the deposited sediment. This question has been frequently debated in the 1990s [6, 7]. Thus, some authors consider that palygorskite results from direct saturation of the fluid with respect to palygorskite [8]. Still, others think the mineral results from the replacement of another clay, such as smectite [9].

The main question in the case of the Muyumkum area is to determine whether newly formed clays have been developed in situ in the sediments during sedimentation or transported along short distances from a lacustrine site, for instance. In all cases, the assemblage tends to indicate the formation of authigenic clays but in relation to surface paleoconditions and not in connection with the shallow burial of the sediments.

Such conditions are frequently reached in laterally extensive lakes formed after rainfall or swamp.

Some examples are listed below:

- Palygorskite, in palaeosols from the Miocene Xiacaowan Formation of Jiangsu and Anhui Provinces: Long et al. [10] describe the occurrence of palygorskite (5 millions tons) and smectite as alteration products of basalts during the continental alteration of tertiary basalts near Nanjing (Jiangsu province, China) during a period of subtropical alteration;

- Tertiary continental basins in Spain, where sandstones and clay formations alternate, host Mg-rich clays, palygorskite, tri-octahedral smectite and sepiolite. These clays are considered authigenic and occur together, with illite and quartz being the only detrital phases. For the Madrid basin, Daams and Van de Meulen [11] and Pozo and Casas [12] proposed that these clays formed under arid to semiarid climatic conditions based on fossils and mineralogical assemblages;

- The occurrence of Mg-smectite, associated with minor sepiolite and palygorskite, has also been described in marine

basins fed by clays formed in a lacustrine environment [13]. Notably, phosphate generally accompanies palygorskite and has also been found in great abundance, for instance, in sample 998-2. Jamoussi et al. [14] have also described and discussed the occurrence of palygorskite in Tunisia and consider that it results from the transformation of previous silicates (smectites) which comes from close lacustrine type basin or playa-lake and accumulate in sediments during flooding episodes. The dissolution of the last smectite could result in palygorskite precipitation, but this implies the loss of K and Al through a process of dissolution-precipitation in the presence of interstitial fluids enriched in magnesium and silica;

- In some basins, palygorskite is accompanied by smectite but in close association with dolocretes, as Colson et al. [15] proposed for the formation of Danian formations in the Provence basin. This critical difference between the last case and the Kazakh basin, is the lack of calcretes or dolocretes. Clays formed, in the Provence basin, at the top of limestone reliefs, not from volcanites, and probably within a flood plain with a slope and relief different from the Kazakh paleorelief.

Conclusions

Newly formed smectite and palygorskite and their association are good proxies of a subtropical climate alternating dry and warm/humid seasons during the late Cretaceous during the formation of the Chu-Syrasu basin. These clays may result partly from the alteration of volcanic rocks (glass) rather than from the alteration of the plagioclase of plutonic rocks. The association of fine grain clays, smectite and fibres (palygorskite) and the occurrence locally of grains of albite, and natrolite, indicate they formed from water, slightly alkaline, and enriched in silica and magnesium. Besides, muscovite as coarse grain particles, illite and chloritized biotites attest to a second source compatible with the coarse grain microcline and quartz, which can derive from granites.

Source rocks could be, therefore, acid plutonic series (peraluminous granites probably) releasing coarse-grained detrital phyllosilicates (muscovite and biotite-chlorite) and volcanic series, altered into newly-formed clays (smectite and palygorskite). It can be noticed that the preservation

of euhedral newly-formed smectite is in favour of low temperature during early shallow burial as no evidence of significant mixed layering is detected. The K-Ca interlayer may attest to exchanges with the aquifer waters as the interlayer is expected to be more Na-rich in a playa lake or lacustrine environment.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Funding source. This work was conducted within the framework of A. Munara's PhD thesis financed by a Bolashak grant (Ministry of Science and Education from Kazakhstan) and financial support from CREGU and Areva. J. Ghambaja is warmly thanked for his contribution to the TEM studies of clays.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contribution. All authors made a substantial contribution to the conception of the work, acquisition, analysis, interpretation of data for the work, drafting and revising the work, final approval of the version to be published and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work. All authors made equal contribution to preparation of this work starting

from sample preparation completing with revising.

ДОПОЛНИТЕЛЬНО

Источник финансирования. Работа выполнена в рамках докторской диссертации А. Мунара, финансируемой за счет гранта программы «Болашак» (Министерство науки и образования Республики Казахстан) и при финансовой поддержке CREGU и Areva. Дж. Гамбаджа выражает глубокую благодарность за его вклад в исследования глин с помощью ПЭМ.

Конфликт интересов. Авторы декларируют отсутствие явных и потенциальных конфликтов интересов, связанных с публикацией настоящей статьи.

Вклад авторов. Все авторы подтверждают соответствие своего авторства международным критериям ICMJE (все авторы внесли существенный вклад в разработку концепции, проведение исследования и подготовку статьи, прочли и одобрили финальную версию перед публикацией). В подготовке данной работы, начиная от пробоподготовки и заканчивая редактированием, все авторы принимали равное участие.

REFERENCES

1. Bliachova SM, et al. *Paleontological and stratigraphic studies of Cretaceous, Paleogene and Neogene sediments of Chu-Sarysu depression, Report for the Meso-Cenozoic Part, 1972–75* yy. Kokshetau: Geoinform; 1976. (In Russ).
2. Bliachova SM, Shakhverdov VA. *The partition and correlation of the Paleocene and Eocene of Chu-Sarysu depression*. Moscow: Soviet geology, №2; 1984. (In Russ).
3. Shakhverdov VN. Metallogeny of uranium of Paleogene deposits of Chu-Sarysu province. Thesis St-Petersbourg, Vsegey. 1988;24:315–317.
4. Mosser-Ruck R, Cathelineau M, Baronnet A, Trouiller A. Hydrothermal reactivity of K-smectite at 300°C and 100 bar: dissolution-crystallisation process and non-expandable dehydrated smectite formation. *Clay Min., Mineral Soc.* 1999;34(2):275–290.
5. Baronnet A, Amouric M, Chabot B. Mécanismes de croissance, polytypisme et polymorphisme de la muscovite hydroxyl synthétique. *J. Cryst. Growth.* 1976;32:37–59.
6. Jones BF. Clay mineral diagenesis in lacustrine sediments. *U.S. Geol. Surv. Bull.* 1986;1578:291–300.
7. Torres-Ruiz J, López-Galindo A, González-López JM, Delgado A. Geochemistry of Spanish sepiolite-palygorskite deposits: Genetic considerations based on trace elements and isotopes. *Chem. Geol.* 1994;112:221–245.
8. Tazaki K, Fyfe WS, Tsuji M, Katayama K. TEM observation of the smectite-to-palygorskite transition in deep Pacific sediments. *Appl. Clay Sci.* 1987;2:223–240.
9. Long DGF, McDonald AM, Facheng Y, Houjei L, et al. Palygorskite, in paleosols from the Miocene Xiacaowan Formation of Jiangsu and Anhui Provinces. *P.R. China. Sed. Geol.* 1997;112: 3–4, 281–295.
10. Daams R, van der Meulen A. Paleoenvironmental and paleoclimatic interpretation of micromammal faunal succession in the Upper Oligocene and Miocene of North Central Spain. *Paleobiol. Cont.* 1984;14:241–257.

11. Pozo M, Casas J. Origin of kerolite and associated magnesium clays in palustrine-lacustrine environments. The Esquivias deposit (Neogene Madrid Basin, Spain). *Clay Min.* 1999;34:395–418.

12. Cavalcante F, Belviso C, Bentivenga M, et al. Occurrence of palygorskite and sepiolite in upper Paleocene–middle Eocene marine deep sediments of the Lagonegro Basin (Southern Apennines—Italy): Paleoenvironmental and provenance inferences. *Sed. Geol.* 2011;233, 1–4, 1 42–52.

13. Jamoussi J, Ben Aboud A, López-Galindo A. Palygorskite genesis through silicate transformation in Tunisia continental Eocene deposits. *Clay Min.* 2018; 38, 2:187–199.

14. Colson J, Cojan I, Thiry M. A hydrological model for palygorskite formation in the Danian continental facies of the Provence Basin (France). *Clay Min.* 1998;33:333–347.

ИНФОРМАЦИЯ ОБ АВТОРАХ

***Мунара Аскар**

e-mail: a.munara@niikmg.kz.

Кателино Мишель

e-mail: michel.cathelineau@univ-lorraine.fr.

Карпантье Седрик

e-mail: cedric.carpentier@univ-lorraine.fr.

Абылай Надир

e-mail: abylay.nadir@gmail.com.

AUTHORS' INFO

***Askar Munara**

e-mail: a.munara@niikmg.kz.

Michel Cathelineau

e-mail: michel.cathelineau@univ-lorraine.fr.

Cedric Carpentier

e-mail: cedric.carpentier@univ-lorraine.fr.

Nadir Abylay

e-mail: abylay.nadir@gmail.com.

*Автор, ответственный за переписку/Corresponding Author